Smart Graphics: Labeling, Feedback Loops

Lecture „Smart Graphics”

Andreas Butz,

18.1.2011



Themen heute

- Reasoning/Rendering:
  - Floating Labels
- Reflection:
  - Anticipation Feedback loops
  - Putting the human in the loop


Reflection of results
Design knowledge
Reasoning, Planning, Inferencing, Optimization, …
Rendering, Output, Presentation, …
Display
Domain knowledge
User model, Preferences
input

Floating Labels [Hartman, SG 2004]



- Generalization of force-driven graph layout
- Application for labeling medical images
- Goal: fully label a given set of objects
  - Internal labels
  - External labels
  - Connecting lines

Idea: computing a potential field



goal	**Attractor:** Target
B_i	**Repulsors:** Obstacles
ρ_0	Spatial influence of obstacles
p	Position
$\rho_{goal}(p)$	Minimal goal distance
$\rho_i(p)$	Minimal distance to obstacle B_i

- Reformulate objective function in terms of forces
- Sum of attractor and repulsors: **Potential**
- 1st Derivative: **Field**
- Relaxation: redirect **particles** according to force field

Force Configuration



A : Label and co-reference object

B : Label and all other objects

C : Between labels

D : Object boundary (internal or external label)

E : Image boundary

◀----- attractive

◀----- repulsive

Force Configuration

Force A: Label and co-referential object

$$U_{attr}(p) = \begin{cases} 0 & , p \in area(O) \\ c_1 \frac{\rho_O}{\eta} & , p \notin area(O) \end{cases}$$

ρ_O distance to *interia*(O)
η maximal distance

Force B: Label and all other graphical objects

$$U_{rep}(p) = \begin{cases} c_2 & , p \in area(O_i) \wedge O_i \neq O \\ 0 & , else \end{cases}$$

Force D: Object boundary

$$U_{silh}(p) = \begin{cases} c_3 & , \rho_{silh} \leq \rho_S \\ 0 & , \rho_{silh} > \rho_S \end{cases}$$

ρ_{silh} distance to silhouettes
ρ_S silhouette influence factor

Force E: Image boundary

$$U_{wall}(p) = \begin{cases} c_4(1 - \frac{\rho_{wall}}{\rho_W}) & , \rho_{wall} \leq \rho_W \\ 0 & , \rho_{wall} > \rho_W \end{cases}$$

ρ_{wall} distance to boundary
ρ_W boundary influence factor

Static Potential:

$$U(p) = U_{attr}(p) + max(U_{wall}(p), U_{silh}(p), U_{rep}(p))$$

Initialization

- Assign texts to objects
  - Done by human
- Assign initial placements for labels
  - Simple methods



Color Code Rendering

- Render each object in a specific color
- Easy determination of visible areas of an object
- **Internal Point:** Thinning / Erosion
  - Max. distance to silhouette
  - Inside thickest region





Static Force field calculation



- **Attractive:** reference object
- **Repulsive:** Other graphical objects
- **Repulsive:** Silhouettes and boundary
- **Color-Code:**

repulsive	neutral	attractive
blue	black	red





Initial Label Placement



- Determine label candidate positions
  - –Initial label positions (corners, preferred direction, interior)
  - –Label movement (Point abstraction)
  - –Expand point abstraction
    - Area containing point
    - Minimize area potential



Label Competition

- Evaluate label candidates:
  - Area potential
  - Visibility (label overlap)
  - Length of connecting lines
  - Angle with main axis
- Min. and max. values for label candidates
- Weighted sum of all components





Dynamic Force Field Calculation

- Goal: remove collisions
- Assumption: bigger objects are easier to label
- Alter static force field
- Greedy algorithm:
  - Pin most problematic label (smallest object)
  - Let other labels float
- Relaxation
- Evaluate



Decoration



- **Layout of Metagraphical Objects**
- Object-Ground differentiation
  - –Object: Metagraphical objects
  - –Ground: Graphical objects
  - –Styles
- **Anchor Points: +/-**
- **Connecting Lines:**
  - –Solid / Dashed
  - –Line color vs. Background



Example (16 Objects)



Example (20 Objects)



Anticipation-Feedback Loops



Anticipation-Feedback Loops

- Original idea in natural language generation [Wahlster 1982]
  - Generate an (elliptic) expression
  - Try to anticipate what the user will understand
  - If this is correct → OK, Else: generate new expression
- Generalization to other modalities:
  - Generate output (done anyway!)
  - Apply analysis to it (but how? This is the tricky part!)
  - Compare the result with the intended effect
- Can be applied at different levels
  - Structural level (before rendering)
  - Output level (after rendering)

AFLs at different levels



Questions answered:

Is the text correct?
No homonyms?

Are the sounds correct?
No homophones?

Is the sound played correctly?
Are the speakers OK?

- AFLs can be used at different levels for different purposes
  - Example above is for language generation
- Assumption: the analysis process is perfect
- Problem if generation and analysis have the same bug

Example: AFL in Cathi



Example: visual balance in layout [Lok 2004]

- Visual balance:
  - Symmetric, asymmetric
    - Big light objects can balance small dark ones
  - radial
  - Crystallographic

Approach: balancing visual weights

- Analyze a layout for regions of different visual weights
  - Dark colors weigh more
  - Light colors weigh less
- Data structure: weightmap
  - For each pixel, store visual weight
  - Weight of images = mean or median grey value
  - Weight of text depends on font etc.
  - Range 0 (light) – 255 (heavy)
  - Can be shown as greyscale img. →

Weightmap pyramids

- Treat weightmaps like greyscale images
- Apply image pyramid technique to it
  - –Simplify image stepwise by interpolating pixels
- Goal: reduce data for computation



Evaluating Balance

- Construct a weightmap pyramid $P_0 \ldots P_n$
- Select an image P_i for i ≈ n
- Compute the gradients of row+col sums

$$r(q) = \sum_x P_i(x,q) \qquad grad_y(q) = r(q) - r(q+1)$$

$$c(p) = \sum_y P_i(p,y) \qquad grad_x(p) = c(p) - c(p+1)$$

- Move object under pixel (x,y) in dir. of gradients
  - If $grad_x > 0$ → move object at (x,y) right
  - If $grad_x < 0$ → move object at (x,y) left
  - If $grad_y > 0$ → move object at (x,y) down
  - If $grad_y < 0$ → move object at (x,y) up

Evaluating: a simple example

- Choose P_i at size 2x2 Pixels

- Object of weight W_0 in the center:
  - Gradients both zero
  - No movement needed

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{W_0}{4} & \frac{W_0}{4} \\ \frac{W_0}{4} & \frac{W_0}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Object of weight W_0 in the upper left corner:
  - Gradients both = $W_0 > 0$
  - Move object right and down

$$\begin{bmatrix} W_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Implementation, adding constraints

- Implementation in Java: BalanceManager
  - Layout manager for canvas with arbitrary content
- Choice of weightmap at 16x16 Pixels
- Iterative movement of objects by c * length of gradient

- Using just the gradients would move all objects to the center
- Constraint 1: objects can‘t overlap
- Constraint 2: objects stay within display area

Results for free placement

- Start position of all objects was (0,0)
- Iteration until visual imbalance below threshold
- Collects objects in the center

Results on a layout grid

- Starts by placing images near the center
- Balances light images against dark ones
- Distributes images evenly

Results in an actual application







[Simon Lok, Steven Feiner, Gary Ngai. "Evaluation of Visual Balance for Automated Layout". Proceedings of IUI 2004, p. 101-108.]

Key Features of this approach

- Fully image-based
  - –Analysis is done after complete rendering process
  - –Established methods from image processing
- Feedback gives also a direction for change

A more general look at AFLs

..and a helpful extension..

AFLs at different levels



Question answered:

Is the structure of the generated presentation adequate?

- AFLs can be used at different levels
  - Feedback at different levels
  - Comparison at different levels

AFLs at different levels



Question answered:

Is the rendering of the generated presentation adequate?

- AFLs can be used at different levels
  - Feedback at different levels
  - Comparison at different levels

AFLs at different levels



Question answered:

Is the structure correctly rendered?

- AFLs can be used at different levels
  - Feedback at different levels
  - Comparison at different levels

AFLs at different levels



Question answered:

Is the rendering displayed in a readable way?

- AFLs can be used at different levels
  - Feedback at different levels
  - Comparison at different levels

Putting the human in the loop



Putting the human in the loop

- Advantages:
  - –Humans are truly smart (most, at least ;-)
  - –Let the machine do the „dumb“ tasks
  - –Human judgment is better than ability to formulate rules: „I know it when I see it“
- Disadvantages:
  - –System can‘t work fully automatically
  - –User is not just consumer, but also author (this can also be an advantage!)

Design Galleries [Marks, 1997]



Design Galleries: motivation

- Let the computer do what it is good at
  - –Rendering a scene
  - –Generating variations of the light setup
- Let the human do what (s)he is good at
  - –Judging the visual quality of a scene
  - –Choosing the best variation to proceed
- A form of human-machine symbiosis
  - –Generate-and-test approach involving both the computer and the user

DG: general procedure:

1. Choose initial settings for parameters
2. Disperse settings (i.e. choose variations which visibly change the result)
3. Render an image for each variation
4. Arrange the images in a gallery
5. Let the user choose one variation
6. Take this variation as the new starting point and goto 2.

More Design Galleries



More Design Galleries

More Design Galleries



More Design Galleries



More Design Galleries



Feedback loops: Summary

- Can be built at different levels
  - –Check just syntactic aspects (→ graph layout)
  - –Check semantic aspects (→ need good analysis)
- Can also involve the user
  - –„Smart graphics“ where „smart“ is inside the human and „graphics“ is inside the machine